

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/218,060	12/21/1998	SLOVAK ONDREJ SUCH	777.162US1	3598	
26389	7590 09/1	2/2002			
	CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800			EXAMINER	
SUITE 2800				ANYA, CHARLES E	
SEATTLE,	WA 98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2151		
				DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
, Office Action Comments	09/218,060	SUCH, SLO	VAK ONDREJ		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MAN INO DATE CHI	Charles E Anya	2151			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	ears on the cover	sneet with the corresponden	ce address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining will apply and will expire S , cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considere IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication. 33).		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 J</u>	lune 2002 .				
_	is action is non-fin	al.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for for	mal matters, prosecution as	s to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considera	tion.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiren	ient.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•		sianal annliantian)		
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 🗆	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pap Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:			

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,764,958 to Coskun in view of applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter referred to as APA, pages 12 16).

As to claim 1, Coskun teaches a Dynamic Object Storage Scheme (List Object 80, Col. 3, Ln. 63 – 66), a Plurality of Objects (Person Object 82, Student Object 84, Teacher Object 86, Col. 3, Ln. 63 – 66), a Dynamic Dispatch Scheme (Message Router 56, Col. 3, Ln. 5 – 10), an Action (Method 48, Col. 3, Ln. 5 – 10), a Plurality of Categories (Methods 44 – 54, Col. 3, Ln. 5 – 7), needing one object, needing more than object or needing no object (Object 40, Col. 3, Ln. 5 – 10), an Object recognition Scheme (Class Description, Col. 3, Ln. 21 – 25) and a determination of whether an object described by the description fits an application programming interface ("...available object methods...", Col. 3, Ln. 21 – 25).

Coskun does not teach an asynchronous programming environment.

APA teaches Asynchronous Programming Environment (Asynchronous Programming Technique, page 14, Ln. 24 – 25). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of

Art Unit: 2151

APA to the system of Coskun. One would have been motivated to make such a modification to provide symmetric multithreading.

As to claim 3, Coskun teaches a Series of Token and an Attribute (Col. 3, Ln. 21 – 25).

As to claim 4, Coskun is silent with reference to unpacked-message-events.

APA teaches Unpacked-Message-events (Microsoft Windows Procedure, page 12, Ln. 21 – 25, page 13, Ln. 1 – 6). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of APA to the system of Coskun. One would have been motivated to make such a modification to provide less fragile asynchronous programming environment (page 16, Ln. 13 – 17).

As to claim 5, see the rejection of claim 1.

As to claim 6, see the rejection of claim 2.

As to claim 7, see the rejection of claim 3.

As to claim 8, see the rejection of claim 4.

As to claim 9, claim 1 meets claim 9 except for a processor and a computerreadable medium.

Coskun teaches a Processor (Central Processing Unit 10, Col. 2, Ln 47 – 50) and a Computer-readable Medium (Random Access Memory (RAM) 14, Read Only Memory (ROM) 16, Col. 2, Ln. 52 – 55).

As to claim 10, see the rejection of claim 2.

As to claim 11, see the rejection of claim 3.

As to claim 12, see the rejection of claim 4.

As to claim 13, see the rejection of claim 9.

Art Unit: 2151

As to claim 14, see the rejection of claim 9.

As to claim 15, see the rejection of claim 2.

As to claim 16, see the rejection of claim 3.

As to claim 17, see the rejection of claim 4.

As to claim 18, Coskun is silent with regards to a compact disc read only memory (CD-ROM), however, it could have obvious to include a CD-ROM because the I/O adapter 18 is adapted for connecting any peripheral device (Col. 2, Ln. 52 – 61).

As to claim 19, Coskun teaches a Floppy Disk (Disk Unit 20, Col. 2, Ln. 52 – 61).

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,764,958 to Coskun in view of APA as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Copeland et. al.

As to claim 2, Coskun as modified does not teach a recyclable locking mechanism.

Copeland teaches a Recyclable Locking Mechanism (Lock Manager, Col. 3, Ln. 40 – 57, Note: The locking and unlocking ability of the lock manager makes it recyclable). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Copeland to the system of Coskun. One would have been motivated to make such a modification to provide control on locks on behalf of threads (Col. 3, Ln. 42 – 47).

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2151

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Charles E Anya whose telephone number is (703).305-

3411. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (First Friday Off) from 8:30 am

to 5:30 pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3900.

Charles E Anya

Examiner

Art Unit 2151

ST. JOHN COURTENAY III PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5